



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,131	07/11/2001	Wright J. Nee	ROC920000321US1	9531	
7590 11/02/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
James R. Nock			KNOWLIN,	KNOWLIN, THJUAN P	
IBM Corporation	on, Dept. 917				
3605 Highway 52 North			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, MN 55901-7829			2642		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/903,131	NEE, WRIGHT J.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thjuan P. Knowlin	2642		

	Thjuan P. Knowlin	2642					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be given by the same of the companion of the same of the companion of the comp</li></ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS	of mod within the time period set to	Juliu 07 01 17 41:57(	a).				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		oecause .				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal, and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Attachment.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
		Examiner: Thjuan F					

Phone: (571) 272-7486

Application/Control Number: 09/903,131

Art Unit: 2642

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/03/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 2

- 2. Applicant argues that the Marrah et al (US 6,728,522) patent neither discloses nor suggests, storing a set of listener preferences, and using the stored listener preferences as a predetermined selection criteria to select a group of broadcast signals, multiple program formats, that the current location of receiver entered by the listener is a zip code, that the current location of the device being entered by the listener of a keypad integral to the device, and that the current location of the receiver is provided by a cellular phone terminal to the apparatus.
- 3. Applicant states that he concedes that what is described in col. 1, lines 56-60 (i.e., "geographic identification code") corresponds to the claim element "a current location of the receiver" in the present invention. Applicant further submits that three necessary elements of the present invention (e.g. the current location of the receiver and a database of broadcast sources for a plurality of broadcast locations) are provided in the Marrah et al. patent, however, a set of listener preferences is not disclosed. Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. The Marrah et al. patent does disclose and suggest a set of listener preferences (e.g. selected geographic area or county of interest) (See col. 1 lines 49-65). The Marrah et al. patent further discloses and suggests that the current location of receiver entered by the listener is a zip code (See col. 1 lines 52-56), that the current location of the device being entered by the listener of a keypad integral to the device (See col. 1 lines 62-65), and that the current location of the receiver is provided by a cellular phone terminal to the apparatus (See

Application/Control Number: 09/903,131 Page 3

Art Unit: 2642

col. 2 lines 59-63). Applicant states that the Marrah et al. patent does not disclose or suggest multiple program formats since all of the channels in the database are exclusively weather related. However, all of the channels in the database are not exclusively weather related. The channels are also used for AM and/or FM broadcast signals (See col. 3 lines 12-14). The Marrah et al. patent further discloses that a plurality of available weather band channels for receiving broadcast weather band signals, could also contain weather, emergency, or other messages (See col. 3 lines 45-59).

BENNYTIEU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

A.U. 2642